

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS. P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,170	08/21/2003	Toshiyuki Takabayashi	03487/HG	1777
1933	7590 10/07/2005		EXAMINER	
FRISHAUF 220 5TH AV	, HOLTZ, GOODMAI	BERMAN, SUSAN W		
NEW YORK, NY 10001-7708			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1711 .	

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	/

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/647,170	TAKABAYASHI, TOSHIYUKI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Susan W. Berman	1711		

	Susan W. Berman	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 31 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.	- 6 I seissties Juhishou	orio lator In no
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, many	on fee under 37) as set forth in (b) ay reduce any
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expine a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered	because .
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see INC	TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel	tter form for appeal by materially r	educing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		1. I A	. (DTOL 224)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendmen	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a): Nowable if submitted in a senarate	timely filed amenda	nent canceling
the non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-6 and 8-12</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected. 1,3-0 and 0-12. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			*
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. <i>S</i>	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	alls to provide a (1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	cnea.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:
see attached page(s).12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
13. Other:	(· / 	

Susan W Berman Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1711 Application/Control Number: 10/647,170

Art Unit: 1711

The comparative data submitted in the Affidavit filed 08-31-2005 has been considered. The evidence presented is found unpersuasive for the following reasons. The examples of oxetane compound representative of Smith or Sasaki et al are not considered to exemplify the closest prior art as required for a comparative showing of unexpected results. Smith and Sasaki et al each teach oxetane compounds wherein substituents R₃ to R₆ are not simultaneously hydrogen, as set forth in the instant claims. The polar substituents in the compounds reported on in the Affidavit would be expected to affect bond lengths, as shown by the measurements. Smith discloses that R₄, R₅, R₇ and R₈ in the formula in column 6, lines 25-34 can be selected from the substituents in column 6, lines 34-37. Sasaki et al discloses that the R₁, R₂, R₃ and R₄ substituents in formula 1 can independently be hydrogen or hydrocarbon.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan W. Berman whose telephone number is 571 272 1067. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571 272 1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application
Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained
from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available
through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic
Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SB 10/3/05 Susan W Berman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711